

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,928	08/21/2003	Barbara B. Jackson	23253.00 7370	
75	90 07/26/2004		EXAM	AMINER
Richard C. Lit		BASINGER, SHERMAN D		
LITMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD. P.O. Box 15035			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA	Arlington, VA 22215			
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/644,928	JACKSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sherman D. Basinger	3617
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed lys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	rosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 14-20</u> is/are rejected.		·
7) Claim(s) <u>11-13</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	· ·
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 August 2003</u> is/are:		to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		_
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents		a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		
application from the International Bureau		reu in triis National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • •	ed.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/21/03</u> .	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 112 of figures 1, 4 and 6. Corrected drawing sheets, or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 8 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities: the semicolon at the end of claims 8 and 15 should be a period. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,928

Art Unit: 3617

4. Claims 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 8 and 15 "the side members" has no clear antecedent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7-10, 14-17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rhodes.

Rhodes discloses an enclosure of generally rectangular shape-see figure 1.

The elongate buoyant support members make up the frame 19. The chair has what can be considered to be front and rear sections with flotation members 50 and side sections with flotation members 48 and 49.

With regard to claim 2, see figure 6.

The support members are pvc pipe. As such, they are hollow. They are also filled with air due to end caps 79 and 80.

The handles are upright support members 62 and 65. The handles are wrapped in hand grips formed by loops 73 and 74.

The removable or stationary crossbar is 45. It is located between or intermediate of the handles and the end of the side members defined by caps 80 and socket 30.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,928

Art Unit: 3617

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 3 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rhodes.

The flotation members of Rhodes are made of polystyrene instead of urethane closed cell foam. However, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to make the flotation members of Rhodes of urethane closed cell foam. Motivation to do so is found in the know light weight of polyurethane.

9. Claims 4, 6 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rhodes in view of Falcaro.

The hollow bores of the pvc pipe used by Rhodes is not filled with foam. Falcaro teaches filling pvc pipe of a flotation frame with foam. In view of what is taught by Falcaro, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to fill the pvc pipe of the frame of Rhodes with foam. Motivation to do so is to make sure the pipe remains buoyant by keeping it from filling with water.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,928

Art Unit: 3617

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 11, 12 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Henderson et al is cited to show the frame and flotation members 40.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sherman D. Basinger whose telephone number is 703-308-1139. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:00-2:30 ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sherman D. Basinger Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

sdb 7/23/04